Figure E. A. When plotting error rates in the mongrel offset matching algorithm as a function of psychophysical thresholds data from Manassi et al. (2012, 2013, 2015, 2016), no correlation was found ($r(36)=-0.191$, $p=-0.264$, $BF_{01}=2.647$). B. We plotted the error rates measured in the mongrel offset matching algorithm with all tested flanking conditions as a function of the sum of the flanker pixel density (see Methods for details). Each dot indicates a flanking condition in Figure 1. The red line indicates chance level performance. The data are well fitted by a psychometric function (blue line, see Method for details). The correlation between the measured error rates and the error rates predicted by the fitted function is strong ($r(36)=0.739$, $p<0.001$, $BF_{10}>10^4$).